

EA



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,704	06/18/2001	Kosei Oguro	NEC 183654	1040

27667 7590 08/11/2005

HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C.
130 W. CUSHING STREET
TUCSON, AZ 85701

EXAMINER

SMITH, TRACI L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3629

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/883,704	Applicant(s) OGURO, KOSEI	
	Examiner Traci L. Smith	Art Unit 3629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07/22/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to papers filed on July 22, 2005.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 11, 13 and 14 have been amended.

Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claims 1-15 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5 724 520; Goheen; March 3, 1998.

3. As to claims 1, 6 and 11 | A ticketless system including a network system wherein a reservation center, a payment center, and an entrance gate are connected by a network, said ticketless system comprising:

4. first notification means for notifying said first user terminal of a plurality of first data from said ticket issuing center in response to a request from a first user terminal connectable to said network, said plurality of first data including site data representing a site, time- of-day data representing an admission time to said site, and price data for admittance to said site;

5. **Goheen teaches a ticketing network center for specific flight information reservations and authorizations(C. 5 I. 4-10)**

6. second notification means for notifying said ticket issuing center of second data selected among said plurality of first data, third data for identifying said first user terminal, and fourth data representing an account for payment by an owner of said first user terminal from said first user terminal; **transferring payment(C. 5 I. 13)**

7. third notification means for notifying said payment center of said price data included in said second data sent to said ticket issuing center by said second means and said fourth data; notification **credit card payment verified while online(C.5 I. 14).**

8. payment means, in said payment center, for making a payment using said price data and said fourth data notified by said third notification means; **Payment authorization(C. 5 I. 20-23).**

9. fourth notification means for notifying said ticket issuing center of fifth data for identifying said second user terminal from said second user terminal connectable to said network at the site represented with said site data included in said second data on an admission time to said site represented with said time-of-day data included in said second data, after payment by said payment means; and **revised reservations and a new(second) flight(C. 6 I. 14-16).**

10. permission means for permitting the owner of said second user terminal to pass through an entrance gate installed at said site represented with said site data included in said second data when said fifth data coincides with said third data. **Individual validation; allowing passenger to enter plane using a smart card(C. 5 I. 61-67.**

11. As to claims 2, 7 and 12 Goheen teaches payment via a credit card. (C. 4 I. 11).
12. As to claims 3, 8 and 13 Goheen teaches where gohen teaches a "mobile airline communication unit" permission mechanism that communicates with the central computer system verifying that the information the "mobile airline communication unit" coincides with the information that was entered at time of reservation. It then allows the user to pass through gate. C. 3 I. 14-29 Gohen further teaches that time communication unit is at a particular gate, this implies the communication unit is sending it's location(fifth data set) to the central computer telling the central computer the user identified wishes to pass thru the gate where the communication unit is located. C.8 I. 1-10
13. As to claims 4, 9 and 14 Goheen teaches correspondence across a terminal to access proper validations and grants access once validated using a smart card(C. 5 I. 63-67).
14. As to claims 5, 10 and 15 Goheen teaches telephone number of terminals users(C.4 I. 11 and C. 5 I. 2).

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference fails to teach admitting a user without a "physical" ticket. The examiner notes that the use of smart card is not using a physical ticket. A smart card is a cart that hold the identification of the user and can contain information that is would be found on a ticket plus limitless types of other data

that further identify the user. The examiner further notes that according to the applicants specification on page 24 lines 10-18 a method of inputting a code of "identifying the system user" is used in place of entering a telephone number. The specification does not limit how that code is input into the system there for the swiping of a smart card qualifies as inputting the code that identifies the user.

16. As to applicants arguments regarding claim 13 the examiner notes the above rejection for claim 13 where "C. 3 1. 14-29 where gohen teaches a "mobile airline communication unit" permission mechanism that communicates with the central computer system verifying that the information the "mobile airline communication unit" coincides with the information that was entered at time of reservation. It then allows

17. the user to pass through gate. C.8 1. 1-10 teaches that time communication unit is at a particular gate, this implies the communication unit is sending it's location(fifth data set) to the central computer telling the central computer the user identified wishes to pass thru the gate where the communication unit is located.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 572-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLS



JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600